#### LANCASHIRE COMBINED FIRE AUTHORITY

### PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE

Thursday, 30 November 2017, at 10.00 am in the Main Conference Room, Service Headquarters, Fulwood.

#### **MINUTES**

PRESENT:

#### Councillors

S Holgate (Chairman)

L Beavers

P Britcliffe

S Clarke

F De Molfetta (for M Khan)

M Perks

Z Khan

D Smith

M Tomlinson

In accordance with the resolution of the predecessor Performance Review Committee at its inaugural meeting on the 30<sup>th</sup> July 2004 (Minute No. 1/04 refers), representatives of the LFRS, the Unions and Audit had been invited to attend all Performance Committee meetings to participate in discussion and debate.

#### Officers

J Johnston, Deputy Chief Fire Officer (LFRS)

D Russel, Assistant Chief Fire Officer (LFRS)

S Morgan, Area Manager Head of Service Delivery (East)

J Keighley, Assistant Member Services Officer (LFRS)

#### In attendance

K Wilkie, Fire Brigades Union

### 10/17 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from County Councillor D Stansfield and Councillor M Khan.

## 11/17 DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY AND NON-PECUNIARY INTERESTS

None received.

## 12/17 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Chairman, CC Holgate expressed his appreciation and thanked everyone for their hard work which included good scrutiny being undertaken during challenging times.

<u>RESOLVED</u>: - That the Minutes of the last meeting held on the 14 September 2017 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

## 13/17 IMPROVING AND STRENGTHENING OUR RETAINED SERVICE

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer had advised at recent previous Performance Committee meetings it would be helpful for Members to be updated on the action the Service had taken to improve and continue to build on its current strong position regarding retained pump availability across Lancashire.

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer then welcomed Station Manager Mick Strickland, Retained Support Manager who currently led the team of 7 Retained Support Officers (RSO's) and Mick Dawson, Station Manager from Fylde District.

Station Manager Strickland presented Members with a detailed update on the current establishment which is currently operating at 80% of its overall allocated hours. Currently there were 94 wholetime staff providing dual contract roles which brings together shared skills and experience that could bridge the gap between the two duty systems.

He explained that the role of the RSO's went live in May 2017 following investment from the Fire Authority. The RSO's worked from a base station to maintain operational skills and to build relationships between wholetime and RDS which would promote and build on working together.

The responsibilities of the Retained Support Officer were:-

- Co-ordinate, plan and deliver training;
- Co-ordinate and strengthen links between duty systems;
- Liaise with Unit Managers, Service Delivery Managers, Training and Operational Review and Corporate Communications;
- Provide Operational Cover when necessary;
- Lead, manage, coach and support operational incidents;
- Flexible working pattern.

The role supported RDS units throughout recruitment and employer engagements by contacting potential new employers and with the help of the Corporate Communications team information packs were currently being developed.

SM Dawson then provided an update on the RDS recruitment drive which included a short news broadcast production video which Members had the opportunity to view. He then summarised the number of successful number of applicants who had completed the retained recruits course which commenced in November 2017.

It was also noted that there was an enormous amount of work being undertaken by all involved and support from departments to ensure the Service continued to improve on its current position.

In response to a question raised by CC Perks, SM Strickland confirmed the station locations of the RSO's were 2 roles in Pennine (based at Rawtenstall and Nelson), 2 in Northern (both based at Lancaster), 1 in Western (based at St Annes), 1 in Eastern (based at Hyndburn) and 1 in Southern based at (based at Chorley).

In response to a question raised by Councillor Smith, SM Strickland reported that there would always be certain areas in Lancashire where the Service had struggled to recruit which was due primarily to work and family life.

Members thanked SM Strickland and SM Dawson for the presentation and for all their work which had been undertaken in this process.

#### 14/17 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer advised Members that this was the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarterly report for 2017/18 as detailed in the Risk Management Plan 2017-2022.

The report showed there were 2 negative KPI Exception Reports. An exception report was provided which detailed the reasons for the exception, analysis of the issue and actions being taken to improve performance.

Members focussed on the indicators where an exception report was presented and examined each indicator in turn as follows:-

## 2.4 Fire Engine Availability – Retained Duty System

This indicator measured the availability of fire engines that were crewed by the retained duty system. It was measured as the percentage of time a fire engine was available to respond compared to the total time in the period.

The percentage of time that RDS crewed engines were available for quarter 2 was 87.73%, previous year quarter 2 was 88.39%, a worsening of 0.66%.

The previous quarter (April to June 2017) recorded 89.61%.

Annual Standard: Above 95%

This was a negative exception report due to the cumulative RDS availability for the three months of quarter 2 being below the standard and outside of the two percent tolerance.

## **Exception report provided.**

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer advised Members that quarter 2 availability had decreased over quarter 1, with the cumulative availability recorded at the lowest level over the last 5 years. To aid local level monitoring, additional analysis had been supplied at pump level, along with a new KPI 2.4.1 which measured RDS availability without wholetime staff imports to supplement RDS staff.

The Retained Duty System Recruitment and Improvement Group would continue to be responsible for progressing areas for improvement, continuing to work on a number of ongoing actions which would strive to deliver incremental improvements in order to strengthen and support the Retained Duty System.

It was noted that the actions being taken to improve performance would be that the new recruits, who started in May 2017 would continue to show an improvement in RDS crew availability when the respective qualifications of Breathing Apparatus (BA) and BA Team Leader had been completed and staff had gained experience to start acting up to cover the Officer in Charge role. There was a minimum of 6 months before a Firefighter was BA qualified, and a further 6 month period of BA experience before acquiring BA Team Leader Skills. Therefore results in availability may only start to be realised during quarter 3. Similarly some stations had suffered from a lack of available driver however, this would start to show improvement when staff members continued to build driving hours in preparation for their Emergency Fire Appliance Driving Course. There were stations where staff on dual contracts made up half of the RDS crew, with the inevitable impact on the RDS availability.

The Retained Support Officer (RSO) role would continue to assist in some of these areas, in particular around recruitment and firefighter/officer development and with the various strengthening and improving work streams, the Service should see a positive effect on availability over time.

The forthcoming WT recruitment campaign would also be an opportunity to promote RDS vacancies. RSO's were continuing to support the 'Have a Go' days and would collate information from potential applicants.

# 2.4.1 <u>Fire Engine Availability – Retained Duty System (without wholetime detachments)</u>

Subset of KP1 2.4 and provided for information only

This indicator measured the availability of fire engines that were crewed by the retained duty system (RDS) when wholetime detachments were not used to support availability. It was measured by calculating the percentage of time a fire engine was available to respond compared to the total time in the period.

Fire engines were designated as unavailable (off-the-run) for the following reasons:

- Manager deficient
- Crew deficient
- Not enough BA wearers
- No driver

The percentage of time that RDS crewed engines were available for quarter 2 was 84.00%. This excluded the wholetime detachments shown in KPI 2.4.

Standard: As a subset of KPI 2.4 there was no standard attributable to this KPI.

#### 4.2.1 Staff Absence – Excluding Retained Duty System

This indicator measured the cumulative number of shifts (days) lost due to sickness for all wholetime, day crewing plus, day crewing and support staff divided by the total number of staff.

Annual Standard: Not more than 5 shifts lost

Cumulative total number of monthly shifts lost 2.6

Quarter 2 results indicated the number of shifts lost through absence per employee being above the Service target for two month during quarter 2.

**Exception report provided.** 

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer advised Members that during quarter 2 absence statistics showed below target within the months of July and August. Shifts lost showed a steady monthly increase from July through to September both non-uniformed and uniformed. The possible reasons for this were a spike in muscular-skeletal injuries, hospital procedures and mental health, which lead to an increased in longer term absences.

At the end of September the cumulative totals show that non-uniformed staff absence was above target at 2.80 shifts lost per employee, for wholetime staff absence was 2.5 shifts per employee.

Overall absence for all staff with the exception of RDS was 2.57 shifts lost which was above the Service target for this quarter of 2.5 lost shifts.

The Assistant Chief Fire Officer reassured Members that the absence management policy was robust and looked to support staff to return to work. Action taken continued to be early intervention by the Occupational Health Unit and where appropriate, issues around capability due to health issues were reviewed and addressed. The Service would continue to run leadership conferences to assist future managers to understand policy which included absence management. In addition, employees were encouraged to make use of the Employee Assistance Programme.

Members then examined each indicator in turn as follows:-

KPI 1 – Preventing and Protecting

## 1.1 Risk Map Score

This indicator measured the risk level in each neighbourhood (Super Output Area) determined using fire activity over the previous three fiscal years along with a range of demographic data.

The County risk map score was updated annually, before the end of the first quarter. An improvement was shown by a year on year decreasing 'score' value.

Score for 2014-2017 – 32,398, previous year score 32,990. No exception report required.

CC Perks welcomed the high overall percentage reduction in Very High risk SOA's. The Assistant Chief Fire Officer confirmed that the risk score for each SOA was calculated using a formula. He then explained that the Service had experienced a reduction of dwelling fires which was due largely to the preventative work the Service had undertaken.

It was agreed that the Assistant Chief Officer would circulate the County risk map to Members outside of the meeting which would identify the particular areas of Lancashire which had experienced a reduction.

### 1.2 Overall Activity

This indicator measured the number of incidents that the Service attended with one or more pumping appliances.

Quarter 2 activity 3,961 previous year quarter 2 activity 4,017, a decrease of 1.39%.

Total number of incidents 2017/18 – Year to Date, 3,961

Included within this KPI was a new incident type of 'Gaining Entry'. This was where LFRS had attended on behalf of the North West Ambulance Service. During quarter 2 we attended on 144 occasions. No exception report required.

### 1.3 Accidental Dwelling Fires

This indicator reported the number of primary fires where a dwelling had been affected and the cause of the fire had been recorded as 'Accidental' or 'Not known'.

Quarter 2 activity 202, previous year quarter 2 activity 186, an increase of 9%.

Total number of Accidental Dwelling Fires – Year to Date, 202 No exception report required.

## 1.3.1 Accidental Dwelling Fires – Extent of Damage

This indicator reported the number of primary fires where a dwelling had been affected <u>and</u> the cause of the fire had been recorded as 'Accidental or Not known' presented as a percentage extent of fire and heat damage.

Extent of fire and heat damage was limited to: Item ignited first, limited to room of origin, limited to floor of origin and spread beyond floor of origin.

\*The ADF activity count was limited to only those ADF's which had an extent of damage shown above. An improvement was shown if the total percentage of 'Item first ignited' and 'Room of origin' was greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year.

Percentage of accidental dwelling fires limited to item 1st ignited in quarter 2 22%, quarter 2 of previous year 22%. Percentage limited to room of origin in quarter 2

60%, quarter 2 previous year 65%, limited to floor of origin in quarter 2 9%, quarter 2 previous year 10% and spread beyond floor 9%, previous year 3%. No exception report required.

# 1.3.2 <u>Accidental Dwelling Fires – Number of Incidents where occupants have</u> received a Home Fire Safety Check

This indicator reported the number of primary fires where a dwelling had been affected <u>and</u> the cause of fire had been recorded as 'Accidental or Not known' by the extent of the fire and heat damage. The HFSC must be a completed job (i.e. not a refusal) carried out by LFRS personnel or partner agency. The HFSC must have been carried out within 12 months prior of the fire occurring.

	2017/18		2016/17		
	ADF's with previous HFSC	% of ADF's with previous HFSC		% of ADF's with previous HFSC	
Q1	17	7%	15	7%	
Q2	21	10%	13	7%	

No exception report required.

## 1.4 Accidental Dwelling Fire Casualties

This indicator reported the number of fire related fatalities, slight and serious injuries at primary fires where a dwelling had been affected <u>and</u> the cause of fire had been recorded as 'Accidental or Not known'. A slight injury was defined as; a person attending hospital as an outpatient (not precautionary check). A serious injury was defined as; at least an overnight stay in hospital as an in-patient.

Casualty Status	2017/18	2016/17
	Quarter 2	Quarter 2
Fatal	0	0
Victim went to hospital visit, injuries appeared	0	2
Serious		
Victim went to hospital visit, injuries appeared Slight	15	6
TOTAL	15	8

No exception report required.

## 1.5 Accidental Building Fires (Non-Dwellings)

This indicator reported number of primary fires where the property type was 'Building' and the property sub type did not equal 'Dwelling' <u>and</u> the cause of fire had been recorded as 'Accidental' or 'Not known'.

Total number of incidents	2017/18	2016/17
	Quarter 2	Quarter 2
	88	80

No exception report required.

#### 1.5.1 Accidental Building Fires (Non-Dwellings) – Extent of Damage

This indicator reported the number of primary fires where the property type was a building and the property sub-type was not a dwelling <u>and</u> the cause of fire had been recorded as 'Accidental or Not known' presented as a percentage extent of fire and heat damage.

This indicator showed the total number of Accidental Building Fires where damage was limited to room of origin, limited to floor of origin and spread beyond floor of origin.

\*The ABF activity count was limited to only those ABF's which had an extent of damage shown above.

An improvement was shown if the total percentage of 'Item first ignited' and 'Room of origin' was greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year.

Quarter 2 Accidental Building Fires activity, 64: -

	2017/18					2016/17				
	ADF	Item 1st	Room	Floor	Spread	Item 1st	Room	Floor of	Spread	 t
	activity	ignited	of	of	beyond	ignited	of	origin	beyond	d
			origin	origin	floor of		origin		floor	of
					origin				origin	
Q1	95	21%	31%	16%	33%	11%	41%	17%	31%	
Q2	64	33%	31%	13%	23%	6%	52%	13%	29%	

No exception report required.

#### 1.6 Deliberate Fires

This indicator reported the number of primary and secondary fires where the cause of fire had been recorded as 'Deliberate'. Secondary fires were the majority of outdoor fires including grassland and refuse fires unless they involved casualties or rescues, property loss or more appliances attended. They included fires in single derelict buildings.

Deliberate Fire Type	2017/18	2016/17
	Quarter 2	Quarter 2
1.6.1 Deliberate Fires – Anti-Social Behaviour	428	422
1.6.2 Deliberate Fires – Dwellings	33	32
1.6.3 Deliberate Fires – Non-Dwellings	40	43

No exception report required.

## 1.7 High / Very High Risk Home Fire Safety Checks

This indicator reported the percentage of completed Home Fire Safety Checks (HFSC), excluding refusals, carried out where the risk score had been determined to be either high or very high.

	2017/18	20	16/	17			
l	% of High and Very High	%	of	High	and	Very	High

	HFSC outcomes	HFSC outcomes
Q1	68%	79%
Q2	71%	75%

No exception report required.

CC Perks advised that in his role as Member Champion for the Authority and leading on Dementia he hoped that we could record the number of people the Service assisted with Dementia. This was either to partners or by issuing Dementia Buddies via Dementia Leads. It was agreed that a presentation on Home Fire Safety Checks (incorporating Safe and Well principles) would be brought back to the next Performance Committee.

The Deputy Chief Fire Officer reassured Members the Service was currently in the process of training all operational firefighters in the principle of broadening Safe & Well, with Dementia being one element.

#### 1.8 Road Safety Education Evaluation

This indicator reported the percentage of participants of the Wasted Lives and Childsafe Plus education packages that showed a positive change to less risky behaviour following the programme; based on comparing the overall responses to an evaluation question before and after the course.

	2017/18 (cumu	ative)	2016/17 (cumulative)		
	Total	% positive	Total	% positive	
	participants	influence on	participants	influence on	
		participants'		participants'	
		behaviour		behaviour	
Q1	1441	85%	1832	87%	
Q2	2259	85%	2847	85%	

No exception report required.

#### 1.9.1Fire Safety Enforcement – Known Risk

This indicator reported on the percentage of premises that have had a Fire Safety Audit as a percentage of the number of all known premises in Lancashire to which The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 applied.

Number o	Number of	% of all premises	% of all premises	
premises	premises	audited	audited	
	audited to date	Year end: 2017/18	Year end: 2016/17	
31735	17623	56%	56%	

No exception report required.

#### 1.9.2 Fire Safety Enforcement – Risk Reduction

This indicator reported the percentage of Fire Safety Audits carried out within the

period resulting in enforcement action. Enforcement action was defined as one or more of the following: notification of deficiencies, action plan, enforcement notice, alterations notice or prohibition notice.

Period	Satisfactory audits	Requiring f	ormal	Requiring	informal
	2017/18	activity - 2017/1	8	activity - 2017/	18
Q1	25%	7%		66%	
Q2	26%	9%		65%	

No exception report required.

### KPI 2 – Responding to Emergencies

## 2.1.1 Critical Fire Response – 1st Fire Engine Attendance

This indicator reported the 'Time of Call' (TOC) and 'Time in Attendance' (TIA) of the first fire engine arriving at the incident in less than the relevant response standard.

The response standards included call handling and fire engine response time for the first fire engine attending a critical fire, these are as follows:-

- · Very high risk area = 6 minutes
- · High risk area = 8 minutes
- · Medium risk area = 10 minutes
- · Low risk area = 12 minutes

The response standards were determined by the risk map score and subsequent risk grade for the location of the fire.

Standard: to be in attendance within response standard target on 90% of occasions.

Quarter  $2 - 1^{st}$  pump response 87.39%, previous year quarter 2 was 88.82% No exception report required.

## 2.1.2 <u>Critical Fire Response – 2<sup>nd</sup> Fire Engine Attendance</u>

This indicator reported the time taken for the second fire engine to attend a critical fire incident measured from the time between the second fire engine arriving and the time it was sent to the incident. The target is determined by the risk map score and subsequent risk grade for the location of the fire.

Standard: to be in attendance within response standard target on 85% of occasions.

Quarter  $2 - 2^{nd}$  pump response 88.04%, previous year quarter 2 was 88.73% No exception report required.

## 2.2.1 Critical Special Service Response – 1st Fire Engine Attendance

This indicator measured how long it took the first fire engine to respond to critical non-fire incidents such as road traffic collisions, rescues and hazardous materials incidents. For these incidents there was a single response standard which measured call handling and fire engine response

time. The response standard for the first fire engine attending a critical special call was 13 minutes.

Standard: To be met on 90% of occasions

Quarter 2 results 88.05% achieved against a target of 90%, previous year quarter 2, 85.56%.

No exception report required.

In response to a question raised by the chairman CC Holgate, the Assistant Chief Fire Officer confirmed that pump attendance would be determined by the nearest available and quickest resource to the location of the incident.

## 2.3 Fire Engine Availability – Wholetime, Day Crewing and Day Crewing Plus

This indicator measured the availability of fire engines that were crewed by Wholetime, day crewing and day crewing plus shifts. It was measured as the percentage of time a fire engine was available to respond compared to the total time in the period.

Fire engines were designated as unavailable for the following reasons:

- Mechanical
- Crew deficient
- Engineer working on station
- Alternate crew
- Appliances change over
- Debrief
- · Lack of equipment
- Miscellaneous
- Unavailable
- Welfare

Annual Standard: Above 99.5%

Quarter 2 availability 99.47%, previous year quarter 2 - 99.46% No exception report required.

#### 2.5 Staff Accidents

This indicator measured the number of staff accidents. Total number of staff accidents 2017/18 – Year to Date, 32 Quarter 2 results indicate percentage pass within standard No exception report required.

KPI 3 – Delivering Value for Money

3.1 Progress Against Savings Programme

Annual budget for 2017/18 - £53.9m
Budget to end of quarter 2 - £27.2m
Spend for the period to date was £26.9m
Underspend for the period £0.3m
Variance -0.56%
No exception report required.

#### 3.2 Overall User Satisfaction

Total responses 1706; number satisfied 1692 % satisfied 99.18% against a standard of 97.5% Variance 1.72%

There have been 1706 people surveyed since April 2012.

In quarter 2 of 2017/18 – 76 people were surveyed. 76 responded that they were 'very satisfied' or 'fairly satisfied' with the service they received. No exception report required.

KPI 4 – Engaging With Our Staff

## 4.1 Overall Staff Engagement

This indicator measured overall staff engagement. The engagement index score was derived from the answers given by staff that related to how engaged they felt with the Service.

Three times a year all staff was asked the same questions in on online survey to gauge engagement. Period 2 encompassed the period of August to November, with the results reported at the end of quarter 3.

Period	2017/18		2016/17	2016/17		
	Number	ofEngagement	Number	ofEngagement		
	Replies	Index	Replies	Index		
1	-	-	220	62%		
2	-	-	141	64%		

#### 4.2.2 Staff Absence – Retained Duty System

This indicator measured the percentage of contracted hours lost due to sickness for all retained duty staff.

Annual Standard: Not more than 2.5% lost as % of available hours of cover Quarter 2 results indicate percentage pass within standard Cumulative retained absence (as % of available hours cover) 1.02% No exception report required.

#### RESOLVED: - That the Committee:

i) Endorsed the report and noted the contents of the 2 negative KPI exception reports.

# 15/17 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Committee would be held on Thursday, 15 March 2018 at 1000 hours in the Main Conference Room at Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service Headquarters, Fulwood.

Further meeting dates were noted for 7 June 2018 and 13 September 2018 and agreed for 29 November 2018.

M NOLAN Clerk to CFA

LFRS HQ Fulwood